Leadership Worksheet – Rethinking The "Misfit"

Reframing Value in Cognitive Difference

This reflective tool helps you as a manager move beyond surface-level judgments and explore how under-recognised cognitive styles might offer hidden value in your complex and dynamic environment.

Step 1: Identify a Team Member

Think of someone on your team you've internally labelled — even subtly — as a "misfit," "difficult," "off-brand," or "not quite fitting in."

- Name or initials (for personal reference only): _______
- Role or team: ______

Step 2: Reflection Prompts

1. Why do you consider this person a misfit?

→ What words have you used (aloud or internally) to describe them? → Is the concern about their behaviour, communication, pace, approach to problems or something else?

- 2. What observable behaviours are you noticing?
 - \rightarrow Be specific: How do they act in meetings? In writing? Under pressure?

 \rightarrow Do they interrupt, go off-topic, delay decisions, challenge ideas, go quiet, or seem too intense?

3. What makes those behaviours inappropriate, disruptive or below expectations?

 \rightarrow Are they misaligned with your team's norms or culture?

 \rightarrow Do they create friction, slow processes, confuse others, or seem emotionally misattuned?

4. Could there be another explanation for these behaviours?

 \rightarrow Could their thinking style be more reflective, contextual, or pattern-based than what your process currently rewards?

 \rightarrow Could their signals be filtered or missed because they don't "perform" insight in conventional ways?



© Yinsight 2025. All rights reserved. You are free to use these resources for personal and educational purposes. Commercial use or redistribution is not permitted without written permission.



 \rightarrow Have they spotted risks others missed? Asked sharp questions? Offered novel ideas?

 \rightarrow Do they contribute in writing, after meetings, or in high-pressure situations?

 \rightarrow What kinds of problems or environments seem to suit them better?

6. What conditions might help this person thrive and contribute more fully?
→ Could different formats (asynchronous input, visual tools, slower-paced
sessions) support their strengths?

 \rightarrow What adjustments could you make to create psychological safety for their way of thinking?

Bonus Insight:

Consider mapping this person onto a polarity, e.g. "speed and depth" or "certainty and ambiguity." Are they bringing balance to a team that leans heavily in one direction?

